IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 3761 OF 2007
ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3814 OF 2007

The State of Maharashtra & ors.... Petitioner

versus

Kacharu Laxman Pawar & anr..... Respondent.

Shri S.K.Chincholikar AGP for the petitioner
Shri N.V.Bandiwadekar for Respondents.

CORAM; A.P. DESHPANDE, J.
DATED; 20TH JUNE, 2007

P.C.;

1. The judgments and orders passed by the Industrial court are challenged in the present writ petitions. The orders of the Industrial court are dated 17-9-2001 and the petitions are filed in March 2007. The delay is not properly explained. The learned AGP submits that on account of administrative difficulties the delay has occurred. The learned counsel for the respondents points out the observations of the Industrial court made in para 9 of the order and submits that initially there were nine complaints filed involving 15 complainants and the said complaints were disposed of by common judgment. For reasons best known to the present petitioners, it has chosen to file revision only in three cases and not in

the other matters. The industrial court has observed that no reasons are stated as how come the petitioners have chosen only these three cases for filing revision, leaving aside the other complaints. As there is no satisfactory explanation for delay in filing the complaints, the writ petitions are summarily dismissed.

....